



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,648	09/18/2001	Judith F.M. Masthoff	PHGB 000126	7500
24737	7590	04/26/2004		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS				EXAMINER
P.O. BOX 3001				NARAYANASWAMY, SINDYA
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510				ART UNIT
				PAPER NUMBER
				2174

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004

5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/954,648	MASTHOFF ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Sindya Narayanaswamy	2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(h).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 September 2002.
2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not included.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.4.
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-8 are presented for examination.
2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in view of Hoffberg et al. (hereinafter Hoffberg) US-6400996 over Gram, US-5,760,768.

5. As per claim 1, Hoffberg teaches a method of customizing a graphical user interface (*adaptive interface*) for a computer controlled system having at least one selectable parameter, comprising the steps of: monitoring the selection of the selectable parameter by a user (*past history*); determining any pattern of selection (*use pattern*); devising an optimized arrangement of the parameter selection which matches the pattern of selection; and displaying the optimized arrangement (Abstract, lines 1-21; col. 50, line 53-col. 51, line 14; col. 116, lines 50-64).

Hoffberg does not explicitly teach the providing of actuatable means arranged so that a user can access the actuation to provide input to the display arrangements. However, Gram

teaches a means that allows a user to accept or change a displayed arrangement (col. 2, line 65-col. 3, line 9). It would have obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Hoffberg with Gram's step of incorporating user input in order to insure that there is user confirmation and approval of the modified display arrangement.

6. As per claim 2, Hoffberg teaches the method in which the parameters are displayed as a menu and the order of the parameters in the menu is varied (*multiple choices displayed on interface with varying probability, based on user history*) (col. 116, lines 37-49, col. 50, lines 53-62).
7. As per claim 3, Hoffberg teaches the method in which the selectable parameters are channels of a multi-channel television system (*various programs/channels are presented as selectable parameters*) (col. 116, lines 33-49).
8. As per claims 4, 5 and 6 Hoffberg teaches the method in which the selectable parameters are processing parameters of an optical processing system that is an x-ray image processing or recording system (*medical device interfaces*) (col. 131, line 49-col. 132, line 17).
9. As per claim 7, Hoffberg teaches the computer controlled system having a customizable graphical user interface by which a plurality of parameters can be selected comprising: display means to display the parameters; selection means to select the parameters; monitoring means to

monitor the selection of parameters and to devise an optimized arrangement of the parameter selection (Abstract, lines 1-21; col. 50, line 53-col. 51, line 14; col. 116, lines 50-64).y7

Hoffberg does not explicitly teach the providing of actuatable means arranged so that a user can access the actuation to provide input to the display arrangements. However, Gram teaches a means that allows a user to accept or change a displayed arrangement (col. 2, line 65-col. 3, line 9). It would have obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Hoffberg with Gram's step of incorporating user input in order to insure that there is user confirmation and approval of the modified display arrangement.

10. As per claim 8, Hoffberg teaches the system in which the actuatable means is a manual control (*user is presented with choices*) (col. 116, lines 50-67).

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

a. US-5977964- configurations based on user preferences

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sindya Narayanaswamy whose telephone number is (703) 305-8473. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am to 5 pm, first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (703) 308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sindya Narayanaswamy
April 12, 2004

Kristine Kincaid
KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100